

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

BEFORE THE GUAM CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION



BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

IN THE MATTER OF:

IRA MARIE PALERO,

Employee,

VS.

OFFICE OF PUBLIC AUDITOR.

Management.

POST AUDIT CASE NO. 16-PA01

JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

I. INTRODUCTION

This matter originally came before the Civil Service Commission (CSC) for a Hearing on April 26, and May 10, 2016. Present at both hearings was Yuka Hechnova for Management.

II. JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of the Civil Service Commission is based upon the Organic Act of Guam, 4 G.C.A., §§ 4401, et seq., particularly § 4403(e), and 1 G.C.A. § 1907(c).

|//

23

24

25

Ira Marie Palero v. Public Auditor Case No. 16-PA01 Judgment of Dismissal Without Preiudice



III. <u>FACTS AND RELEVANT LAW</u>

On March 29, 2016, Ms Doris Flores Brooks, the Public Auditor of Guam requested a Post Audit review of their recruitment action for Auditor I, pursuant to 1 G.C.A. §1907(c).

1 G.C.A. §1907(c) states in relevant part:

(c) Upon appointment by the Public Auditor, such persons shall be probationary employees in the classified service until their qualifications have been verified and confirmed in writing by the Civil Service Commission in accordance with Title 4 GCA, Chapter 4, §4403 (e), or up to one hundred eighty (180) days upon employment, whichever comes first...

4 G.C.A. §4403(e) states in relevant part:

(e) It shall conduct reviews of notices of personnel actions of employees from the classified services...

At the hearing on April 26, 2016, Management testified as to the current state of Ms. Palero's employment. Apparently the Department of Administration ("DOA") took umbrage with the use of 1 G.C.A. § 1907 and both DOA and the Public Auditor have sought guidance from the Attorney General's Office on how to proceed. As such, the Public Auditor has not actually employed Ms. Palero yet, as Management is awaiting guidance from the Attorney General's Office. Thus, there is no personnel action at this time for the Commission to review pursuant to 4 G.C.A. §4403(e) & 1 G.C.A. §1907(c). Therefore, dismissal without prejudice is appropriate.

IV. CONCLUSION

Since there is no personnel action for the Commission to review, the Commission voted unanimously to dismiss this case without prejudice. If Ms. Palero is eventually hired pursuant to 1 G.C.A. §1907(c), then the Public Auditor is free to request a 4 G.C.A. §4403(e) at that time.

1	SO ADJUDGED THIS HODAY O	DR JUL 2016.
2	Hangeli-	11/1/1
3	EDITH/PANGELINAN Chairperson	DANIELO. CEON GUERRERO Vice-Chairperson
4	Not present	John alm
5	PRISCILLA T. TUNCA P Commissioner	JOHN SMITH Commissioner
6	A0000	Tour //
7	LOU HONGYEE Commissioner	CATHERINE GAYLE Commissioner
8	Commissioner	Commissioner
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		